



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 63917 1423 09/746,782 12/22/2000 Christer Fahraeus 02/18/2004 EXAMINER 2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T **PO BOX 747** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 2674

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/746,782	FAHRAEUS, CHRISTER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2003.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-18 and 20-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The claims 1, 3-9, 11-18 and 20-25 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13, 16-18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks (US patent 5,434, 371) in view of Sekendur (US patent 5,852,434 cited by Applicant).

Brooks discloses in figures 1-2 and 6 that a handheld electronic device which is adapted to carry out at least one operation characterized (by written) by a register device (10) for registering strokes when device is moved, interpretation means (30) for determining if the strokes comprises a command; processor means (30) for carrying out an operation upon determination of the command.

Brooks disclose that the registration device is adapted to record the command electronically arranged on a writing surface (3), wherein the registration device comprises an optical sensor (10) which adapted to record images (39, figure 6) of the writing surface (3), and a signal processor (30) which is adapted to use digital presentation of the command (see abstract). The device is a digital pen for electronic recording of information (see figure 6).

Art Unit: 2674

From the claims as disclosed above, however, Brooks does not disclose that wherein the registration device is detecting a position code arranged on a writing surface. Sekendur discloses in figure 4, a position-related coding for indicating X-Y coordinates and detector within the stylus (see column 5, lines 1-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of a position-related coding and detector within the stylus as taught by Sekendur into the device system of Brooks because this would format the writing surface by writing by the code and reflect the selected frequency of light and detector picks-up the selected frequency of light (see Sekendur, column 5, 51-62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks (US patent 5,434,371) in view of Sekendur (5,852,434) and in view of WO 9946909 A1 (ULLMAN cited by applicant).

Brooks discloses in figures 1-2 and 6 that a handheld electronic device which is adapted to carry out at least one operation characterized (by written) by a register device (10) for registering strokes when device is moved, interpretation means (30) for determining if the strokes comprises a command; processor means (30) for carrying out an operation upon determination of the command. Sekendur discloses in figure 4, a position-related coding for indicating X-Y

Art Unit: 2674

coordinates and detector within the stylus (see column 5, lines 1-21). However, Brooks and Sekendur do not disclose that the device is a mobile telephone and that is a second part or transceivers for mutual wireless communication. The patent of WO A1 (ULLMAN discloses the device having the part of the mobile telephone or sends signals to the mobile telephone via a line or cordlessly, that is the transceivers for mutual wireless communication (see page 5, lines 28-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the part of the mobile telephone or sends signals to the mobile telephone via a line or cordlessly as taught by ULLMAN in the display device with pen input of Brooks and Sekendur because this would permit the user to view the incoming call number and party associated with the member.

Response To arguments

6. Applicant's argument filed on 9-3-03 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Brooks fails to teach a registration device, which is adapted to record the command electronically by detecting a position code arranged on a writing surface. However, this argument is not persuasive due to the teaching of combination of Brooks and Sekendur as disclosed. Brooks discloses a registration, which is adapted to record the command; Sekendur discloses a position code (see Sekendur, column 5, lines 1-21) into the registration of Brooks. For this reason, the rejection is maintained.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2674

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2674

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen February 11, 2004

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600